## Act 2 - Status Check 1

**Directions and Resources for Status Check 1** 

### Status Tracker Directions:

← Before completing this tab, follow the directions to set up the Master Sheet.

 Rate the overall status of each improvement strategy: Strong - on track;

At Risk - requires some refinement and/or support; or

Needs Immediate Attention - requires immediate support

2. Identify specific Lessons Learned (Now), Next Steps, and Needs

Note: The status you enter from the drop-down lists will automatically update the accompanying cell on

### School Name: Tom Williams ES

#### Inquiry Area 1 - Student Success Increase the percent of all students scoring above the 61st percentile in Math from 22% (spring 2024) to 28% (spring 2025). (AB 219 Attainable quantitative goal) EL students from 12% (spring 2024) to 18% (spring 2025) as measured

the Master Tracker tab.

1

2025). (AB 219 Attainable quantitative goal) EL students from 12% (spring 2024) to 18% (spring 2025) as measured by MAP Growth Assessment. Therefore increasing all students' SBAC proficiency in Math from 15.4% in 2024 to 20.4% in 2025 and (AB 219 Attainable quantitative goal) EL students' SBAC proficiency in Math from 4.8% in 2024 to 9.8% in 2025.

Increase the percent of all students scoring above the 61st percentile in ELA from 20% (spring 2024) to 26% (spring 2025). (AB 219 Attainable quantitative goal) EL students from 9% (spring 2024) to 15% (spring 2025) as measured by MAP Growth Assessment. Therefore increasing all students' SBAC proficiency in ELA from 29.2% in 2024 to 34.2% in 2025 and (AB 219 Attainable quantitative goal) EL students' SBAC proficiency in Math from 10.5% in 2024 to 15.5% in 2025.

| At RiskIn the Fall of 2024. Our instorted adat<br>indicates that 19% of our students were above.to the CCSD teacher clarity guides.<br>Administration, learning strategists, and<br>instructional coaches will coach, activate, and<br>above.all staff on the district adopted ELA Tier 1 a<br>Tier 1 instruction aligned to NVAC standardsall staff on the district adopted ELA Tier 1 a<br>Tier 2 instructional materials to support<br>teachers' implementation<br>of the PLC meetings showed evidence that students<br>were engaged in Tier 1 instructional materials, in Administration, learning strategists, and<br>instructional materials, in Administration, learning strategists, and<br>instructional coaches will identify areas for<br>differentiation. Furthermore, common PLC and<br>planing time have been embedded into<br>to the CCSD teacher clarity guides.all staff on the district adopted ELA Tier 1 a<br>Tier 2 instructional materials to support<br>teachers' implementation of the new progra<br>supervised teachers to underway.NAR Note StandardsAt RiskImprovement strategies were successful in<br>both ELA and Math. Observational walks and<br>PLC meetings showed evidence that students<br>were engaged in Tier 1 instructional grate teachers' to allow teachers more time<br>of the caliboration,<br>ensuring at least four common preps per week<br>for teachers. Schedule to facilitate collaboration,<br>ensuring at least four common preps per week<br>in intertionally focus will allow for consistent<br>onitoring of instructional practices aligned<br>with the Tier 1 distructional practices aligned<br>with the Tier 1 distructional practices aligned<br>with the Tier 1 distructive curriculum. These<br>initiatives are aimed at improving teachingall atter on the district adopted ELA Tier 1 a<br>Tier 2 instructional materials in Material to support<br>teachers. Schedule to facilitate collaboration,<br>ensuring of i | Improvement Strategies | Intended Outcomes/Formative Measures | Status<br>Are we implementing the<br>improvement strategy as<br>planned? | Now (Lessons Learned)<br>What does our progress monitoring data reveal<br>about progress toward our goal?<br>What are we learning as we implement our<br>improvement strategies?<br>What challenges with implementation and gaps in   | Next (Next Steps)<br>What specific actions do we need to take to address<br>the challenges and performance gaps we've<br>identified? By when? By whom?  | Need<br>What do we need to be successful in taking action?  |
|---|------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| the new materials. Challenges persist in<br>aligning teaching methodologies with the ELA<br>curriculum, highlighting a notable gap in<br>performance.   |                        |                                      | At Risk  | students above the 61st percentile in MAP<br>Math decreased from 22% to 18% from Spring<br>2024 to Fall of 2024. However, our historical<br>data indicates that 13% of our students were<br>above the 61% in Fall of 2023, which shows a<br>5% increase in students at the 61st percentile<br>and above.<br>Our progress monitoring data indicates that our<br>students above the 61st percentile in MAP ELA<br>increased from 19% in Spring of 2024 to 22%<br>in the Fall of 2024. Our historical data<br>indicates that 19% of our students were above<br>the 61% in Fall of 2023, which shows a 3%<br>increase in students at the 61st percentile and<br>above.<br>Improvement strategies were successful in<br>both ELA and Math. Observational walks and<br>PLC meetings showed evidence that students<br>were engaged in Tier 1 instruction aligned to<br>NVAC standards utilizing the adopted district-<br>wide curriculum. Teachers are utilizing district<br>wide Tier I instructional materials, in Math and<br>ELA. Implementation of ELA curriculum is<br>underway, though is still hindered by teachers'<br>struggles with the pedagogy associated with<br>the new materials. Challenges persist in<br>aligning teaching methodologies with the ELA<br>curriculum, highlighting a notable gap in | performance gaps, the school aims to continue<br>to fully integrate an enhanced PLC model and<br>Leverage Leadership practices by the end of<br>the 2024-2025 academic year, led by the<br>school leadership team and grade level teams.<br>Continuous professional development,<br>incorporating CCSD Teaching and Learning<br>documents and CCSD "Look For" tools will be<br>ongoing, with a focus on aligning lesson plans<br>to the CCSD teacher clarity guides.<br>Administration, learning strategists, and<br>instructional coaches will coach, activate, and<br>collaborate with teachers in the implementation<br>of the PLC model. Utilizing MAP data and<br>assessments, teachers will identify areas for<br>differentiation. Furthermore, common PLC and<br>planning time have been embedded into the<br>master schedule to facilitate collaboration,<br>ensuring at least four common preps per week<br>for teachers. Scheduled instructional walks with<br>an intentionally focus will allow for consistent<br>monitoring of instructional practices aligned<br>with the Tier 1 district wide curriculum. These<br>initiatives are aimed at improving teaching<br>practices and student outcomes throughout the | teachers' implementation of the new program.<br>Substitutes for instructional rounds and<br>professional learning. Licensed teachers to fill<br>vacancies to keep class sizes low. School-widd<br>PBIS structures to allow teachers more time to<br>focus on instruction and less time on |

# **\*\*Only type in the yellow cells.\*\***

| Teachers will increase the number of PLC meetings analy<br>measured by the master calendar and PLC meeting note  | /zing data from 25% (2023-2024) to 50% by May 2025 as s and observations.                                |         |   |  |  |
|--|--|---------|---|--|--|
| Improvement Strategies   | Intended Outcomes/Formative Measures   | Status  | Now<br>(Lessons Learned)  | Next<br>(Next Steps)   | Need   |
| The leadership team will implement structures and<br>provide clear expectations for collaborative PLC<br>meetings. B) The staff will engage in high-quality PLC<br>meetings following the teaching and learning cycle. | Teachers will provide purposeful, differentiated, Tier 1<br>and Tier 2 whole and small group instruction | At Risk | Our progress monitoring data highlights<br>promising strides towards our goal, particularly<br>evident in the improvement of our PLC<br>structures. While there is evidence of<br>advancement, further refinement remains<br>necessary. Notably, teachers are actively<br>engaging with the school-wide PLC agenda,<br>emphasizing collaborative data analysis and<br>purposeful instructional planning.<br>Observational feedback underscores the<br>successful implementation of new district core<br>instructional materials in both ELA (HMH and<br>95% Core). However, challenges persist,<br>notably in ELA expertise, reflecting a need for<br>intentionally planned instructional walks<br>observing ELA, additional support and training<br>using the Tier 1 and Tier 2 curriculum.<br>Additionally, Math professional learning<br>opportunities will be provided. | Teachers will analyze data in PLC meetings to<br>purposefully plan for Tier 1 and Tier 2<br>instruction using the CCSD Teaching and<br>Learning Cycle documents (Plan and Teach<br>based on students' performance and need).<br>Teachers will continue to utilize the Tom<br>Williams PLC agenda in weekly PLC meetings.<br>Learning strategists and/or administration will<br>attend PLC meetings to support and monitor<br>grade level teams with data analysis and<br>planning for Tier 1 and Tier 2 instruction.<br>The Leadership team will intentionally plan<br>instructional walks to observe and identify<br>areas in need for professional development.<br>Leadership team will seek professional<br>development opportunities from vendors and/or<br>district departments (Math/ELA). | Systematic school-wide professional learning<br>for district curriculum. Substitute teachers so<br>teachers can attend in depth professional<br>learning and collaboration time. Buy-in from all<br>staff that weekly data analysis is critical to<br>student success and outcomes. Buy-in from<br>staff that PLC and the Teaching and Learning<br>Cycle are not "just one more thing," but things<br>we are already doing to improve student<br>outcomes/purposeful planning will improve<br>achievement. The leadership team will continu<br>to participate in weekly PLC meetings to<br>support the grade level teams and conduct<br>intentional instructional walks to identify areas<br>that teachers need support. |
| nquiry Area 3 - Connectedness  |  |         |   |  |  |
| Decrease chronic absenteeism from 21.7% in 2023-2024   | to 17% in 2024-2025 as measured on FocusEd.  |         |   |  |  |
| Improvement Strategies   | Intended Outcomes/Formative Measures   | Status  | Now<br>(Lessons Learned)  | Next<br>(Next Steps)   | Need   |
|  | Decrease the number of chronically absent students.  |         |   | (A). The school support team will continue to  | We will continue to identify students that are in  |

| The school support team will clearly outline attendance<br>expectations to all families. (B.) Letters will be sent out<br>nonthly to notify parents of students that are currently<br>hronically absent. Admin will provide professional<br>earning to staff. (C.) Attendance meetings will be held<br>o educate and engage families about the impact of<br>titendance on achievement. | Strong | We have implemented a multifaceted<br>approach. Firstly, Random Attendance Days<br>(RAD) have been introduced during lunch,<br>providing students with incentives on random<br>days of the week to encourage regular<br>attendance. Additionally, administration has<br>actively engaged with parents through<br>attendance meetings to identify and address<br>underlying reasons for absences. Moreover,<br>the school and/or district have initiated the<br>involvement of attendance officers to intervene<br>when students are chronically absent, ensuring<br>a consistent effort to improve attendance rates. | families by sending a letter home to remind<br>parents of the importance of consistent<br>attendance. (B.) Letters will continue to be sent<br>out monthly to notify parents of students that<br>are currently chronically absent. (C.)<br>Attendance meetings will be held to educate<br>and engage families about the impact of<br>attendance on achievement. (D) Our<br>Communities in School Liaison (CIS) will<br>continue to incentivize students on Random<br>Attendance Days (RAD). Additionally, to help<br>prevent chronic absenteeism, we have<br>incentives in place for parents who ensure their | We will continue to identify students that are in<br>danger of becoming chronically absent and<br>meet with their families to establish attendance<br>guidelines and supports needed. We need to<br>increase parental awareness of the significance<br>of how attendance negatively impacts<br>instruction. We need cooperation from the<br>families with high absenteeism rates to ensure<br>their students get to school. We will continue to<br>refine our systems and structures to monitor<br>and meet with parents throughout the year<br>regarding attendance. We need to frequently<br>remind our staff of attendance policies so they<br>can dialogue with families. We need<br>community partners to donate incentives to<br>sustain our attendance initiatives. |
|--|--------|--|---|--|
|--|--------|--|---|--|